Disposal of Surplus Rights of Way, or A Case for 'Across-the-Fence Value'

by William R. Lang, MAI

WHAT IS "ACROSS-THE-FENCE" OR "OVER-THE-FENCE" VALUE?

cross-the-fence" value is a term used to describe a method of valuing narrow strips of property used as rights of way, and special purpose property lands. Rights of way are inherently narrow strips of land bought from larger parcels of land.

The larger parcel is the original parent parcel from which the rightof-way parcel was (or is to be) taken or severed. The larger parcel may not be large, it can be of any size. What differentiates it from the right-of-way parcel is that it usually can be utilized on its own. That is, it has value to a wide range of potential users for some non-right-of-way purpose such as agricultural, industrial, commercial or residential use.

When the right-of-way parcel is purchased, its value is measured as a part of the larger parcel. (There are legal nuances in the ownership and

location of pieces of land comprising the larger parcel). What this means is that if a powerline easement is taken from the rear of a residential house lot, the value of the part taken is measured as a part of comparable house lot sales. If a highway easement is taken from an irrigated farm, the value of the right of way taken is based on comparison with irrigated farmland sales of similar size to the larger parcel.

Every agency or entity owning rights of way is faced from time to time with disposing of surplus right of ways through sale, either because too much land is acquired (as in the case where the entire larger parcel is purchased to avoid paying excess damages), or when a right of way is abandoned (as in the case of a railroad, alley, street or road), or in the more common case of sharing rights of way through joint use. The multiple use of existing corridors, or sharing right of way, is another type of valuation problem, one which is not always consistent or fair.

Highway Department Right-of-Way divisions have agonized for years over developing a policy for the sale of surplus properties. Recently, in a large city, a dispute over the method of appraising the value of a closed street almost resulted in an ethics complaint to a major appraisal organization. In another instance, a city followed one policy when they valued the land to be disposed of (in a trade with a private party) and then followed another policy for the property to be acquired (from the same party in the same trade). Then the city bullied the trade through by threatening to hold up the owner's building permits.

The whole process of valuing rights of way cries for consistency among right-of-way agencies around the country, and even within the same agency. It cries for consistency over time from one administration, or property manager, to the next. This is crucial if the public is to perceive the right-of-way industry as fair and professional.



Right-of-Way Services Since 1971

Pipeline Co.'s, Electric & Gas Utilities Telecommunications D.O.T.'s, Municipalities

- Full R/W and Land Acquisition Service
- · Appraisals, Reviews and Agency Permits
- · Photogrammetric Survey and Mapping
- Recreation Areas Employee Payroll Service
- Complete Pre-job Cost Evaluations
- · Leasing for Exploration, Production or Storage

Service Resources Corporation 404-261-5811

2970 Peachtree Rd., N.W., Suite 310 • Atlanta, Georgia 30305 Branch Offices: St. Petersburg, Florida • Charlotte, North Carolina

Inquiries Welcome From Qualified, Available Agents. Send Resume or Call (404) 261-5811



The potential options
for a disposing agency are:
to appraise the strip for sale as a

small inutile parcel, which it is for independent development, and put the parcel out to bid.
This method potentially allows a

non-abutter to purchase the strip for nuisance value, and hold up the abutting parties that can actually use it. It causes abutters to bid higher to hedge against speculators. If the agency ap-

praises it as an inutile parcel and then sells it directly

to an abutter, it does not recover its investment.

e develop a file of surplus property sales, and research that file for comparable sales in this submarket. Real comparisons are difficult to draw between these comparables and the subject property.

 appraise the parcel on the basis of across-the-fence value that is the current value of the adjoining land from which it was (theoretically) originally taken, and sell to one of the abutting owners.

This raises the question of benefits to the larger parcel by combination with the surplus parcel (plottage). This is also a submarket, i.e., value for direct sale to an abutter.

The problem with the first choice's open bid option is that there could develop a speculator's market with buyers whose motive is to spoil legitimate purchases and hold up abutting owners for a profit. This method may prevent direct sale to an abutter for the surplus property's most likely, or highest and best, use. The parcel's development can be postponed, and

Continued on page 14

Right-of-way acquisition is our business . . . our only business.



Coates Field Service, Inc.

PO Box 25277 Oklahoma City, OK 73125 Telephone 405-528-5676 Fax 405-557-0433 Since 1950, Coates Field Service, Inc. has been exclusively in the business of land and right-of-way acquisition.

Coates employees are meticulous, honest and experienced. Coates has established a reputation of efficient and economical land consultant services, operating nationwide on projects of all sizes.

Whether your project is routine or complex, Coates employees will meet your deadlines, deal with you honestly and make certain you are satisfied with the results.

Pipeline and communications companies, utilities, state and local governments, federal agencies and private industry continue to rely on the expertise of Coates.

Western, Seuttle, WA 206-966-3030

Eastern, Albany, NY 518-732-2747

Coates Field Service Canada, Ltd. 604-597-6920

Disposal of Surplus Rights of Way, or A Case for "Across-the-Fence Value"

Continued from page 13

conflicts potentially created. At the least it causes the abutter to bid high as a protection, which is not a desirable public policy.

If no open bid process is followed, and direct sale to an abutter is consummated on the basis of the surplus right of way standing on its own, the agency has little hope of recouping its investment.

The second choice is difficult to implement, and takes a long time. The lack of comparability in data collected, short of a very large sample, renders this option questionable as a cost-effective agency policy. Lack of direct comparisons suggest that individual studies should be made contrasting the surplus sale to sales of undistorted parcels in the

same location and time frame. This is difficult and, hence, expensive.

The third choice is to appraise and sell the property in the same but opposite manner from the way it was theoretically acquired. It has the problem of valuing benefits to the larger parcel (the reverse of the question of damages when the parcel was originally severed).

Potential benefits are difficult to measure. They are called plottage, i.e., "How many stories will acquisition of an abutting 12-foot strip allow to be added to a high rise building site?" Plottage, like damages, is hard to value and so, hard to agree on. Payments for potential benefits are difficult to collect from a buyer for this reason. If we disregard the ques-

tion of potential benefits we have, in the third choice, across-the-fence value which is the fairest, most consistent method of valuation of a right of way to be sold.

This method has the virtue of selling to an abutter from whom the parcel was theoretically taken. It has the positive benefit of putting the parcel to its highest and best use. It also avoids the problem of "nuisance value" bidders distorting the submarket. The value requested of the appraiser should be across-the-fence value rather than fair-market value. This will avoid confusion as well as having incompatible values submitted to buyer and seller by different appraisers.

The advantage to the agency is that it will have a defendable policy because it is selling the property on the same value basis by which it was acquired. All abutting purchasers will be treated the same over a period of time. Political or personal considerations will be excluded. The selling agency gels current across-the-fence value which is theoretically what it paid, disregarding damages or special benefits. It does not have to sell for penalized landlocked or inutile (small strip) values. This is the best long-term policy because it is fair, consistent and supportable.

Note: In developing this article, property managers, clients, appraisers and attorneys were interviewed. They suggest an interchange of practices in the field. While drawing up a questionnaire, other questions relating to disposal were found. When discussing disposal of small tracts, interviewees had questions relating to acquisition of small tracts. The subject grew into the questionnaire that follows. Agencies are welcome to participate in an exchange of ideas on actual practices that will be beneficial to all.

LINDERLAKE CORPORATION FIELD SERVICES GROUP

"Service You Can Count On"

Committed to servicing the Telecommunications Industry, Public Utilities, State and Local Governments, and Private Industry from Coast to Coast.

CALL or WRITE for our Statement of Qualifications regarding:

REAL ESTATE DIVISION

- Right-of-Way Negotiations
- Title Searches
- Fee Purchases
- Permitting
- Appraisals
- Relocation Assistance
- Property Management
- Lease Acquisitions
- Site Acquisitions
- Tower Site Disposition

OSP SERVICES DIVISION

- Underground Facilities Locating
- Route Surveillance
- Construction Inspection
- Construction Monitoring
- System Audit
- Right-of-Way Maintenance/Inspection
- Records/As-built Upgrades

5544 West 147th Street • Suite B-4 • Oak Forest, Illinois 60452 • (708) 385-5855

Quality Licensed or Experienced Professionals Encouraged to Apply EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER/WOMAN BUSINESS ENTERPRISE